## CHAMBERS & PARTNERS

LEGAL PUBLISHERS

# CHAMBERS ASIA PACIFIC

Asia-Pacific's Leading Lawyers for Business

2012 A Client's Guide

www.chambersandpartners.com

# Intellectual Property India (Domestic Firms)

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY Litigation p.526

### Intellectual Property Leading Firms Band 1 Anand and Anand Advocates \* K&S Partners Band 2 Lail & Sethi Advocates Secure duch Jali Lahiri & Salhotra \* Salkrishna & Associates Subramaniam, Nataraj & Associates English at the Amarijt & Associates Chadha & Chadha De Penning & De Penning

Inttl Advocare Khaltan & Co Kristina & Saurastri Lakshmi Kumaran & Sridharan \* LexOrbis Intellectual Property Practice. Luthra & Luthra Law Offices \*

DP Ahula & Co

S Majumdar & Co and the second second Singh & Singh Advocates Zeus IP

Bard 4 Altacit Global Amarchand & Mangaldas & Suresh A Shroff & Co Archer & Angel

AZB & Partners \*
Kochhar & Co Kochhar & Co Mundkur Law Partners

Obhan & Associates Singhania & Partners

\* Indicates firm with profile. Alphabetical order within each band. Bend 1 is the highest.

#### Band 1

#### Anand and Anand Advocates See profile on p.557

THE FIRM This IP heavyweight is a top choice for industry leaders seeking high-calibre advice on a diverse range of matters. The practice has a comprehensive offering, encompassing transactional work, prosecution and litigation client base that includes Microsoft, LVMH, the biotechnology. Tata Group and GlaxoSmithKline. Notable work includes advising Tata Global Beverages on a joint venture with PepsiCo to set up a bev- Band 2 erage company.

Sources say: "One of the premier law firms in Lall & Sethi Advocates the country, with vast experience in IP." "They THE FIRM This firm is admired for its THE FIRM Saikrishna & Associates has a highhave a very strong reputation and win tremendous respect."

Pravin Anand (see p.547) as a doyen in this involving issues in comparative advertising. field. "He is larger than life in the IP space. Not Trade marks constitute the mainstay of its many lawyers can share the same space as him," workload, while copyright and design are other they enthuse. Safir Anand (see p.547) enjoys a key areas. The firm also handles IP matters for sterling reputation and is "an outstanding international clients in other South Asian jurislawyer with fantastic experience in trade mark dictions. prosecution," say sources. He advised Cargill Sources say: "The lawyers are very responsive India on its acquisition of the brand Rath from and offer advice that is to the point." Agro Tech Foods, including a review of the KEY INDIVIDUALS Chander Lall is a highly entire IP portfolio. Archana Shankar (see renowned IP litigator and "a brilliant lawyer p.552) spearheads the patents practice. "She who presents his case very well," interviewees has a very thorough understanding of the Indian note. In a recent highlight, he represented the Patent Office and her knowledge of patents is film industry in litigation that challenged the among the very best," according to commenta-

#### **K&S Partners**

THE FIRM Market observers are full of glow- See profile on p.570 ing praise for the patents practice at K&S THE FIRM Lall Lahiri & Salhotra has been subsidiary in a patent infringement suit before media sectors. the Delhi High Court. The team is also at the Sources say: "They make the cut in terms of forefront of geographical indication (GI) both vintage and volume." work, handling portfolios like Darjeeling Tea KEY INDIVIDUALS Anuradha Salhotra is and Scotch Whisky.

tice - they give us a run for our money." "The trade mark matters. firm has outstanding discipline and you can always expect a reliable standard of service."

DC Gabriel draws tremendous respect in the Dr Vidya Sagar in early 2011, this IP boutique market, with sources describing him as "a continues to be a notable player, having trusted name in IP." A peer adds: "He's someone cemented its reputation over the years. The I'd really like in my dream team." Gabriel has team is active in trade mark, patent and design dealt with patent prosecution matters for more matters, and advises a predominantly internathan two decades and has recently turned his focus to litigation. Also highly esteemed is Rajendra Kumar, who is recognised for his work in relation to trade marks and GI. Ravi Bhola has a standout profile in the patents field expertise, and is instructed by a substantial and his credentials include a background in

impressive IP litigation practice, which has ly acclaimed IP litigation practice, with recent handled several high-profile cases over the past highlights including representing Greenpeace year. It acted for GlaxoSmithKline on a trade in a trade mark infringement suit filed by Tata

KEY INDIVIDUALS Market observers hail mark disparagement case against Heinz,

in cinema or television.

## Lali Lahiri & Salhotra

Partners, particularly in relation to its prosecuegoing from strength to strength, fielding an alltion work. The team is especially conversant in round IP practice that covers trade marks, pharmaceutical, biotechnology, automobile, patents, copyright and designs. It is adept in electronics and telecoms matters, with key both contentious and non-contentious work, clients including AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, IBM and also has capabilities in IP alternative disand Toyota. On the litigation side, the firm successfully defended Saint Gobain and its Indian clientele, particularly from the technology and

highly regarded in the IP litigation arena, with Sources say: "An amazing IP prosecution prac-sources singling out her in-depth experience in

## Remfry & Sagar

KEY INDIVIDUALS A seasoned patent expert, THE FIRM Despite the death of senior partner tional clientele. Highlights include acting for Procter & Gamble in an infringement and passing-off case involving the misuse of its 'Olay Total Effects' mark.

Sources say: "The bench quality is of an extremely high calibre and the firm commands a global name.

KEY INDIVIDUALS Ashwin Julka is at the helm of the practice. Commentators praise him for being "seasoned, solid and reliable."

#### Saikrishna & Associates

## India (Domestic Firms) Intellectual Property

#### Intellectual Property Leading Individuals Band 1 Anand and Anand Advocates \* Affand Sant GagnejiDC - K&S Partners Rumar Shanti LexOrbis Intellectual Property Majumdar S S Malumdar & Co RayIndran Sudhir Altacit Global Subramaniam Hari Subramaniam, Nataraj Bhola Ravi K&S Partners Chadha & Chadha Chadha Chetan Chakraborty Samaresh DP Ahuja & Co Das Sriloy Archer & Angel Groser Francis Stewart Groser & Groser Krishna Sunil Krishna & Saurastri Paharia Gunjan Teus IP Robinson Dev Amarchand & Mangaldas Anand and Anand Advocates \* Shanker Archana Singh Prathiba Singh & Singh Advocates \* Band 3 Chhabra Sanjay Archer & Angel Jalka Ashwin-Remfry & Sagar Madan Jose Khaitan & Co Mason Neel Mason & Associates Mundkur Ramanand Mundkur Law Partners Nataraj G Subramanlam, Nataraj Obhan Essenese Obhan & Associates \* Pilial Manoj LexOrbis Intellectual Property Luthra & Luthra Law Offices \* Roy Gayatri De Penning & De Penning Solomon DJ

#### Intellectual Property: Litigation Leading Individuals

Band 1	
Anand Pravin	Anand and Anand Advocates
Kane Himanshu	W.S. Kane and Co *
Lall Chander M.	Lall & Sethi Advocates
Rajagopal Salkrishna	Saikrishna & Associates
Singh Amariit	Amariit & Associates
Singh Hemant	Intil Advocare *
Band 2	The state of the s
Datta Ameet	Luthra & Luthra Law Offices *
Kumar Rajendra	K&S Partners
Mohan Arunachalam /	Appaji Mohan & Associates
Salhotra Anuradha	Lall Lahlti & Salhotta
* Indicates individual with pr	olie.
Alphabetical order within eac	h band. Band 1 is the highest.

at the Delhi High Court. The team has built up a strong prosecution practice over recent years, and handles the trade mark portfolios of notable brands such as Pfizer and NewsCorp. Sources say: "A trustworthy firm for IP litigation."

gation, with a focus on trade mark and copy- and business," say interviewees. right matters. Peers heap praise on him for

being "a brilliant practitioner and the go-to De Penning & De Penning lawver for infringement issues."

## Subramaniam, Nataraj & Associates

cant areas of expertise include trade marks, strong international client following. industrial designs and copyright. The team Sources say: "They represent some of the counclients, and is especially well versed in the practice." pharmaceutical sector.

Sources say: "An excellent firm with a particularly strong presence in Delhi."

KRY INDIVIDUALS Hari Subramaniam is widely respected for his seasoned practice. "He is one of the finest patent attorneys in India; he has superior expertise and is quick and persuasive," sources report. G Nataraj excels in trade mark prosecution and litigation. It is a patents and design work. "He knows his law port of call for both domestic and foreign and if I am against him in litigation, I'll study clients, and has helped to enforce many wellthe briefs very thoroughly - that's the best tribute known trade marks. I can pay to him," said one peer.

#### Rand 3

#### Amariit & Associates

lar with local brands, with key clients including Tata, Bisleri and Haldiram's.

Sources say: "An excellent trade mark practice Ricard. filled with very competent lawyers."

"one of the leading trade mark and copyright number of IP cases at various levels. counsel in India."

#### Chadha & Chadha

responsiveness of this firm and note that it is a actional and litigation matters. A key highlight growing force in the IP field. Trade mark pros- saw the firm advising Mahindra & Mahindra ecution is a major part of its portfolio, while on its acquisition of a majority stake in Reva the team has also been seeing an increase in Electric Car Company, including the IP aspects patents work. Noteworthy clients include Tata such as technology transfers. A particular Sky, Olympus and Samsung Electronics.

work with a strong 'can do' attitude." "Able to sectors. review a situation and provide commercial KEY INDIVIDUALS Jose Madan leads the advice promptly."

by clients for his expertise in IP prosecution to European IP laws, having spent time at a and litigation. "His advice on complex issues has German IP law firm. KEY INDIVIDUALS Saikrishna Rajagopal been extremely valuable and he has an overall has carved out a distinguished name in IP liti- perspective on the close relationship between IP

THE FIRM This firm was founded in 1856 and maintains a robust IP practice. The team advises on a plethora of issues relating to trade THE FIRM This firm stands out particularly marks, patents, copyright and designs. Market for its patents practice and elicits profuse observers note that the practice is well placed praise for its prosecution work. Other signifi- to handle a high volume of work and has a

advises both domestic and international try's top firms and also have an international

KEY INDIVIDUALS DJ Solomon is a key figure of the practice and is recognised as "a very competent lawyer."

#### DP Ahuja & Co

THE FIRM DP Ahuja & Co has a well-established IP practice, with a focus on patent and

KEY INDIVIDUALS Samaresh Chakraborty is widely recognised as an experienced IP practitioner and is best known for his patent expertise.

#### Inttl Advocare

THE FIRM This Delhi-based firm is highly THE FIRM Inttl Advocare excels in IP prosecuregarded for its handling of complex IP litiga- tion and enforcement, and has handled a large tion matters. It advises corporates from a volume of litigation cases involving trade broad range of sectors and is especially popu- marks, patents, copyright and industrial designs. The team advises several major industry players, including Nestlé and Pernod

KEY INDIVIDUALS Hemant Singh (see KEY INDIVIDUALS Amarjit Singh is a distin- p.553) is lauded as a "brilliant and knowledgeguished IP litigator whom sources describe as able lawyer." He has handled a substantial

#### Khaitan & Co

THE FIRM This firm has a well-rounded IP THE FIRM Clients are impressed with the team that handles a raft of prosecution, transstrength of the team lies in patent drafting for Sources say: "Very efficient in handling the various science, engineering and technology

practice and enjoys a strong reputation for his KEY INDIVIDUALS Chetan Chadha is hailed specialism in patents. His knowledge extends

# Leading Individuals India (Domestic Firms)

successfully handled and been involved in resolution of a diverse range of contentious disputes and providing other litigation related services for clients like Headstrong Corporation, Lufthansa Airlines, Swarovski, Rolls Royce, Mitsubishi, etc.

#### SINGH, Amaresh

Luthra & Luthra Law Offices, New Delhi +91 11 4121 5100

asingh@luthra.com
Featured in Real Estate (India)
Practice Areas: Mr Amaresh Singh is a
Partner at Luthra & Luthra Law Offices.

Practice Areas: Mr Amaresh singh is a Partner at Luthra & Luthra Law Offices. He specialises in structuring of all kinds of real estate transactions, negotiation and documentation, legal analysis and due diligence, and advising on regulatory issues.

Professional Memberships: He is a Member of the Bar Council of India and Delhi High Court.

Career: Mr Singh has advised and rendered wide ranging legal services to leading private equity funds, real estate developers and overseas Government funds such as Capital.and, Mapletree, Blackstone, Lehman Brothers, Oman Investment Fund in their investments and ventures in India.

Publications: He has several articles and columns in various law journals to his credit.

#### SINGH, Hemant Inttl Advocare, New Delhi

+91 11 4619 0400 hemant@inttladvocare.com

Featured in Intellectual Property (India) Practice Areas: Hemant Singh, the founder member of the firm, joined the har in 1984 and has been exclusively practicing in the field of Intellectual Property Rights for more than two and a half decades. Till 1991, he was a partner in an IP law firm whereafter, he established INTIL ADVOCARE, an IPR law firm based at New Delhi, India. His guid ance has seen the firm grow steadily from a national to an international level. INTIL ADVOCARE is a full service IP boutique firm, ranked as one of the leading IP firms in India by, 'Managing IP', as well, 'Chambers and Partners U.K.' INTTI. ADVOCARE has multi-jurisdictional practice and is one of the few IP law firms in India having significant expertise and experience in IP prosecution, enforcement, custom complaints as a part of broad spectrum of professional services in the fields of trade marks, copyright, industrial designs, patents, domain names and cyber squatting, geographical indications, traditional knowledge, unfair trade practices, unfair competition, consumer protection laws, right of privacy and publicity, corporate laws,

laborations, transfer of technology agreement and franchising, strategising IP protection, trade secrets, know-how and breach of confidence, technical collaboration etc. INTTL ADVOCARE has had the privilege of representing some of the biggest and leading brands in the industry including but not limited to Foods and Beverages, Liquor, Garments, Cosmetics, FMCG, Fashion Accessories, Computer Software, Telecommunication, Media, Electronics, Telemarketing Industry, Pharmaceuticals, Automobiles. Biotechnology etc. Mr Singh he has been instrumental in developing a world-wide clientele, and has handled over 1200 IP litigations. He has been the lead counsel in several land mark IP cases. He is a litigation counsel as well as a registered Patent Agent. Mr Singh has argued significant cases which are one of the landmark judgments. Some of the leading cases are as under: Austin Nichols Vs. Jagatjit Industries: first international usage relevant, if intention to use in India is established; Moods Hospitality Vs. Nestle: a descriptive mark not used as a trade mark is not liable for injunction; Hawkins Vs. Murugan: right of spare part manufacturer to use a registered trade mark is permissible, only if, the same is in accordance with honest trade practice, bonafide, and necessary for indicating the usage or purpose of the spare parts; Standipack Vs. Oswal (Patent Infringement): mere arrangement or rearrangement of known integers cannot constitute invention, if it was obvious to a skilled person with no imagination; Smithkline Beecham Vs. Hindustan Liver Limited: if the shape of the mark is primarily functional and utilitarian it is incapable of trade mark protection; Gillette Vs. Yaduvendra Singh (Parallel import); parallel import of goods in India was injuncted and an order of delivery of all such stocks available with the retailers was given by the court; Smithkline Beecham Vs. Cello: (copyright in mould): claim of copyright in respect of mould of tooth brush which was earlier subject matter of a registered design was rejected and such design registration was held to be invalid; Austin Nichols Vs. Jagatiit Industries: the Court affirmed that the Registrar has suo motu power to cancel the registration certificate if issued erroneously; Heinz Italia Vs. Dabur: generic marks can't be monopolised and are exception to infringement; Dabur Vs. Pankaj Goel: the court held that co-existence agreement can't be cited against the proprietor that the mark is publici juris: Thukral Vs. P.M. Diesel: when the ownership of the brand has changed hands during the material period of non-use, the subse-

commercial documentation, foreign col-

quent proprietor cannot be penalised for non-use thereof by its predecessor and such non-use must be established by all the persons who were the proprietors during the 5 year period, failing which the registration cannot be cancelled: Cadila Vs. Dabur: No right in generic marks such as SUGAR FREE: Havana Club Vs. Union of India: the court directed the Registrar to renew the lapsed registration after 11 years on the ground that refusal to renew, in absence of renewal notice, violates principles of natural justice; Marie Stopes Int. Vs. Parivar Seva Sansthan: a licensee is estopped in law to challenge proprietary right of the licensor in the trade mark after taking the benefits of license; SPN Vs. Darshan Kumar Mahajan: KIT KAT is a distinctive and a well known trade mark and such marks can't be allowed to remain restricted for the goods it is registered

Publications: Mr Singh has been an active member of various organisations associated with the issues concerning intellectual property, apart from being the speaker at various forums. MEM-BER: INTA, AIPPI, APAA, IPAA, FICCI, ASSOCHAM & DELHI HIGH COURT BAR ASSOCIATION VICE PRESIDENT APAA (Asian Patent Attorneys Association)India Group(2004-09) CHAIRMAN NATIONAL COUNCIL ON IPR OF ASSOCHAM (Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry in India) (20 11) COMMITTEE MEMBER: Trade Name Subcommittee of INTA (International Trade Marks Association (2010-11); Anti Counterfeiting Sub-Committee of INTA (2006-09); Membership Committee of INTA (2004-05): Internet Counterfeiting Task Group of INTA (2006-07); Trade Marks Committee of APAA (Asian Patent Attorneys Association) (2010-11); Anti Counterfeiting Committee of APAA (2004-09); Executive Member of APAA Management Committee (Indian Group) (2002-04) SPEAKER/AUTHOR: TOPICS FORUM VENUE YEAR, Impact of Dunkel Draft in SAARC Region, Third SAARC Law Conference New Delhi, India 1994, IP and Technology Transfer in India, The Adelaide Conference Australia 1995, Evolving an IP Regime Beneficial to Developing Countries, The Indian Experience, Lawasia IP Conference Kota-Kinabalu, Malaysia 1996 India Ready for Franchising-Regulatory and Administrative Issues. International Bar Association Conference New Delhi, India 1997, Recent Developments in the Protection of IP in India, Third Lawasia IP Conference San Francisco, USA 1998, Counterfeit Spurious & Contraband Goods: Preventive and Remedial Issues, The

Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India New Delhi, India 2005 Combating Counterfeiting Brand Protection, The Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India New Delhi, India 2007, The Challenges of Shape and Colour trademarks in India and the Asian Subcontinent, INTA Table Topic Moderator Berlin, Germany 2008, The IP Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007 and their implications, INTA, ASSOCHAM Jointly hosted Workshop New Delhi, India 2009, ÄúAn Asian Perspective on the Dilution Doctrine Scope & Limitations: INTA Table Topic Moderator Seattle, USA 2009 "Current litigation trends" AIPPI-Speaker Hyderabad, India 2010, Quantifying the Benefits of GI Registration National Conference on GI Hyderabad, India 2010 through Post-GI study, Workshop by CII, Many shades of grey: Parallel Import, INTA-Trail Blazer Mumbai India 2011

#### SINGH, Prathiba

Singh & Singh Advocates, New Delhi +91 11 2431 4741 prathiba@singhandsingh.com

Featured in Intellectual Property (India)
Practice Areas: Filing and arguing
counsel in all kinds of Intellectual
Property litigation; patent infringement;
copyright - especially entertainment and
database protection trademark and passing off, unfair competition actions; internet disputes.

Professional Memberships: Secretary, APAA (Indian Group) - Co-Chairman Copyright Committee APAA; Secretary, Anti-Counterfeiting Group of India; Member, INTA - Berlin 2008 - Was a Speaker on 'Anti counterfeiting in Asian Region' and was featured on the front page of the INTA DAILY NEWS. Was a Member of the National Steering Committee on IPR - Confederation of Indian Industry; expert retained for Training the Indian Judiciary and Trade Mark Officers by the EU-TIDP Project in India. Has spoken in a number of Seminars organised by the Indo-American Chamber of Commerce. Career: 1992-96: worked as an Associate lawver in a leading IP firm in New Delhi; 1997-present: started the firm Singh & Singh along with Mr Maninder Singh and she exclusively practices in the area of Intellectual Property. Has the credit of handling the leading IPR cases in India this year especially in the area of Patents. Has been a Counsel in more than 125 reported IPR precedents some of which are considered as land-mark judgments. She is both a filing and an arguing Counsel and argues matters on a daily basis both before the Delhi High Court. Has argued the leading case on